# College of Engineering Trivandrum Doctoral Committee 8 (DC8 - Viva-voce Meeting) <u>Minutes</u>

No: CET/Research//20	Date:	
Reference: Research Regulations No. U.O.No. 116 Dated: 16.05.2023	66/2023/KTU Thiruvananthapuram,	
Minutes of the Viva-voce Meeting of the research scholar		
with the title of the thesisunder the guidance of the held on	of the Supervisor/Co-supervisor	

#### Agenda:

- 1. Verify that Three/Two out of three external examiners have recommended to accept the thesis.
- 2. Verify that the modifications suggested by the examiners in the submitted thesis are made in the revised version of the thesis and that the scholar has prepared the rebuttal statements.
- 3. Scholar defending her/his thesis.
- 4. Audience poses questions and the scholar defends.
- 5. Viva voce board examines the scholar on his/her thesis work and evaluates his/her performance as satisfactory or otherwise. The viva voce board also assesses whether the scholar answers satisfactorily to the questions raised by the thesis examiners(s).
- 6. Viva voce board may recommend revisions to be made in the final version of the thesis after taking into consideration suggestions of the examiners who evaluated the thesis and the discussion at the viva voce.
- 7. Recommend for the award of Ph. D degree if the performance of the research scholar in the viva voce is satisfactory.
- 8. The chairman declares that the board decides to recommend/not recommend the scholar for award of PhD degree.

### **Members present**:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. 8.

## College of Engineering Trivandrum Doctoral Committee 8 (DC8 - Viva-voce Meeting)

### **Minutes**

found that the requirements to be verified are met by the research scholar. Then, the Chairman invited the research scholar to defend her/his thesis and accordingly, she/he defended her thesis. Then the Chairman invited audience to pos questions. The research scholar answers the questions posed by the audience. Following this, the viva voce board assessed whether the scholar answered satisfactorily to the questions raised by the thesis examiners. After discussions, the viva voce board decided the following:

- 1. Performance of the scholar is satisfactory.
- 2. Following are the modifications to be made in the final version of the thesis
  - 2.1.
  - 2.2.
  - 2.3.
- 3. Performance of the research scholar in the viva voce is satisfactory and hence Ph. D degree can be awarded to the candidate.

The chairman declared that the board decided to recommend/not recommend the scholar for award of PhD degree and the meeting ended at ..........

Signature of	Signature of	Signature of
Signature of	Signature of	Signature of
Signature of the Convener		Signature of the Chairman